

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of ASHLEY NICHOLE CASTILLO,  
PAIGE LYNN MARSHALL, and JULIE ANN  
MARSHALL, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner - Appellee,

v

BRENDA LEE MAYNARD, a/k/a BRENDA LEE  
BARNES, a/k/a BRENDA LEE BARNES  
MAYNARD,

Respondent - Appellant,

and

JOHN MICHAEL MARSHALL, JR., and  
MICHAEL CASTILLO,

Respondents.

---

In the Matter of ASHLEY NICHOLE CASTILLO,  
PAIGE LYNN MARSHALL, and JULIE ANN  
MARSHALL, Minors.

---

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner - Appellee,

v

UNPUBLISHED  
October 3, 2000

No. 221402  
Wayne Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 89-279003

No. 221409  
Wayne Circuit Court

JOHN MICHAEL MARSHALL, JR.

Family Division  
LC No. 89-279003

Respondent-Appellant,

and

BRENDA LEE MAYNARD, a/k/a BRENDA LEE  
BARNES, a/k/a BRENDA LEE BARNES  
MAYNARD, and MICHAEL CASTILLO,

Respondents.

---

Before: McDonald, P.J., and Sawyer and White, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Respondents-appellants Brenda Lee Maynard and John Michael Marshall, Jr., appeal as of right from the family court's order terminating their parental rights to the minor children. The family court terminated respondent Maynard's parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(ii), (g), (j), and (m); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(b)(ii), (g), (j), and (m). The court terminated respondent Marshall's parental rights under MCL 712A.19b(3)(b)(i), (g), and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(b)(i), (g), and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not establish that termination of respondents-appellants' parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Trejo Minors*, 462 Mich 341, 354; 603 NW2d 787 (2000). Thus, the family court did not clearly err in terminating respondents-appellants' parental rights to the children.

We also reject respondent Marshall's claim that he was denied due process. The family court complied with MCR 5.974(D)(3). The petition adequately informed respondent Marshall of the statutory bases on which termination of his parental rights was sought. *In re Perry*, 193 Mich App 648, 651; 484 NW2d 768 (1992). Moreover, the family court's judicial notice of respondent Marshall's juvenile delinquency file was appropriate. *In re Stowe*, 162 Mich App 27, 33; 412 NW2d 655 (1987).

Affirmed.

/s/ Gary R. McDonald  
/s/ David H. Sawyer  
/s/ Helene N. White

